

A Level Statistics

AQA Past Exam Questions

TOPIC: Hypothesis Testing

Two Sample Mean

Candidates may use any calculator allowed by Pearson regulations. Calculators must not have retrievable mathematical formulae stored in them.

Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- If pencil is used for diagrams/sketches/graphs it must be dark (HB or B). Coloured pencils and highlighter pens must not be used.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Answer **all** questions and ensure that your answers to parts of questions are clearly labelled.
- Answer the questions **on paper**
- You should show sufficient working to make your methods clear. Answers without working may not gain full credit.
- Unless otherwise stated, statistical tests should be carried out at the 5% significance level.
- When a calculator is used, the answer should be given to three significant figures unless otherwise stated.

Information

- **You may use the** booklet 'Statistical Formulae and Tables'
- There are **17** questions in this question paper. The total mark for this paper is **125**
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets – use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.

Advice

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Try to answer every question.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.
- If you change your mind about an answer, cross it out and put your new answer and any working underneath.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.

AQA_SS05_JUNE_2018_3b

(b) (i)	$S_p^2 = \frac{9 \times 0.9615^2 + 11 \times 1.21^2}{20} = 1.22$	M1 AG A1		Numerator of formula correct using "their" s_A or s_A^2 (=0.9244) CSO with full correct working
(ii)	$H_0: \mu_B = \mu_A + 0.2$ $H_1: \mu_B > \mu_A + 0.2$ $\bar{x}_A = 30$ $t.s. = \frac{30.9 - 30 - 0.2}{\sqrt{1.22 \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{12} \right)}} = 1.48$ c.v. $t_{20} = 1.325$ or $p = 0.0773 < 0.1$ (1.48 > 1.325) Reject H_0 . There is significant evidence (at the 10% level) that rolls of baking parchment of Brand B are <u>more than</u> 20 cm <u>longer on average</u> than those of Brand A.	B1 B1 M1 M1 A1 B1 A1dep E1dep	2	OE Other suffices must be clearly defined. Or 3000 Numerator correct using <i>their</i> \bar{x}_A Denominator correct AWRT 1.48 (allow rescaling to cm for M1M1A1) correct c.v. or p-value correct, compared to 0.1 Correct conclusion dependent on all values correct. In context
			8	

AQA_SS05_JUNE_2016_6b

6(b)	$H_0: \mu_{car} = \mu_{alt\ means} + 1$ $H_1: \mu_{car} > \mu_{alt\ means} + 1$ $\bar{x}_{car} = 26.1 \quad \bar{x}_{alt.\ means} = 24.225$ $s_{car} = 2.09 \quad s_{alt\ means} = 1.74$ $s_p^2 = \frac{10 \times 2.09^2 + 7 \times 1.74^2}{17} = 3.82$ $t = \frac{26.1 - 24.225 (-1)}{\sqrt{3.816 \times \left(\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{8} \right)}} = 0.964$ cv $t_{17} = 1.740$ 0.964 < 1.740 or $p = 0.174 > 0.05$; accept H_0 No significant evidence at the 5% level that male students who travel to college by <u>car</u> have a BMI which is, <u>on average</u> , more than <u>1 kg/m² greater</u> than those who travel to college by <u>alternative means</u> of transport.	B1 B1 B1 B1 M1 M1 M1 A1 B1 A1dep E1 dep		B1: an inequality in μ and "1" B1: all correct; other suffices must be clearly identified – allow "c" and "a". B1; either mean (cao, 24.2 ~24.3) B1: either s (2.09 ~2.10, 1.73~ 1.74) $s_p^2 = 3.81617... \quad s_p = 1.9535...$ NMS award M1 for value used in range 3.80 ~ 3.84 M1: numerator M1: denominator A1: awfw 0.9 ~ 1.0 ; must have gained <u>all</u> M's. cao, accept 1.74 , condone \pm Dep A1 for t.s. and B1 for positive c.v. p : awfw 1.66 ~ 1.90 (0.1743..)
Total			11	20

6(b) Alt 1 for lower tail

$$ts = \frac{24.225 - 26.1 (+1)}{\sqrt{3.816 \times \left(\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{8} \right)}} = -0.964 \quad M1 \ M1 \ M1A1 ; t_{17} = \pm 1.740 \ B1$$

 - 0.964 > -1.74 ; accept H_0 A1 dep (nb signs resolved) ; E1 dep as on MS
Alt 2
 Use of p value and if no intermediate evidence seen: B1 B1 hypotheses as on MS ; $p = 0.166 \sim 0.190$ (0.1743...) implies B1 B1 M1 M1 M1 A1 (outside this range and they lose all 6 marks) ; comparing 0.174... > 0.05 B1 and accept H_0 A1 (dependent on previous A1 and B1) ; E1 dep as on MS.

<p>5(b)</p>	<p>$H_0: \mu_x = \mu_y$ $H_1: \mu_x > \mu_y$</p> <p>$\bar{x} = 3.88 \quad \bar{y} = 3.49$</p> $S_p^2 = \frac{5 \times 0.582^2 + 8 \times 0.683^2}{13} = 0.417$ $t.s. = \frac{3.88 - 3.49}{\sqrt{0.417 \left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{9} \right)}}$ <p>= 1.142</p> <p>c.v. $t_{13} = \pm 1.35$ or p - value = 0.137 > 0.1</p> <p>1.142 < 1.35 accept H_0</p> <p><u>Evidence</u> at the 10% level that Emily's suspicion is <u>not supported</u>.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1dep</p> <p>E1dep</p>	<p>both hypotheses</p> <p>either 3.88 ; 3.48 ~ 3.50 (3.4911...)</p> <p>M1: use of correct formula (0.417...; $s_p = 0.6459..$)</p> <p>numerator (accept (3.49 – 3.88)) denominator (ft on s_p^2 if M1 earned)</p> <p>1.13 ~ 1.15 (± to agree with their numerator)</p> <p>±1.35 or p-value= 0.13 ~ 0.14(0.1371...) compared with 0.1 Dep on A1 for t.s. , B1 for c.v. or p - value and correct signs. (accept -1.35 < -1.142) Conclusion in context dep on previous A1dep oe e.g. Alt: <u>insufficient evidence</u> at 10% level that babies born in the summer months are <u>on average heavier</u> than those born at other times of the year.</p> <p>9</p>
<p>5(c)(i)</p>	<p>H_0 has been accepted so a Type II error might have been made.</p>	<p>E1</p>	<p>stating eg Type II error is when H_0 is accepted – H_0 must be accepted in (b)</p> <p>s.c. B1 for Type I error etc... if H_0 is rejected in (b)</p>
<p>(ii)</p>	<p>It was <u>incorrectly concluded</u> that there was <u>no difference between the mean weight</u> of babies born in the summer months and the mean weight of babies born at other times of the year.</p> <p>or</p> <p><u>Mean weight</u> of babies born in the summer months <u>is greater</u> than the mean weight of babies born at other times of the year.</p> <p>or</p> <p>H_0 incorrectly accepted; Emily's suspicion should have been justified.</p>	<p>E1dep, E1 dep</p>	<p>E1 explanation of a Type II error eg H_0 accepted when it should have been rejected ; dep E1 in c(i)</p> <p>E1 all correct – must have “mean “ or “average” or “Emily’s suspicion”</p> <p>3</p>

2(a)	$\bar{x}_{2012} = 264 \quad \bar{x}_{2010} = 256.4$ $\sigma_{2012}^2 = 551 \quad \sigma_{2010}^2 = 660$ $(s_{2012}^2 = 558 \quad s_{2010}^2 = 669)$	B1,B1 B1, B1		264 , 256~256.5 Accept either σ^2 or s^2 but must be consistent. awfw 551~558, 660~670
			4	
(b)	(i) $H_0: \mu_{2012} = \mu_{2010}$ $H_1: \mu_{2012} > \mu_{2010}$ t.s. $z = \frac{264 - 256.4}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{558}{90} + \frac{669}{75}\right)}}$ $= 1.954$ c.v. $z = 1.6449$ $1.954 > 1.6449$ reject H_0 Evidence at the 5% level that the <u>mean</u> weight of chicks was <u>greater</u> in 2012 than in 2010.	B1 M1 M1 A1 B1 E1		both M1: numerator ; accept 256.4 -264 M1: denominator ; allow use of (consistent) s^2 or σ^2 (ft only on a small numerical slip) 1.9 ~ 2.1; accept \pm 1.64 ~ 1.65 ; accept \pm or p = 0.0253 (0.024~ 0.026) compared with 0.05 Comment in context; All working correct with consistent signs. Accept "mean weight greater in 2012" oe
			6	
	(ii) sample sizes are large so means are approx. normally distributed due to Central Limit Theorem.	E2		E1 large samples E1 CLT
			2	
(c)	This would mean concluding that the mean weight of chicks was greater in 2012 than in 2010 when in fact the means were the same.	E2		Statement in context s.c. E1 – no context: eg Type 1 error is when H_0 is rejected when it is true.
			2	

AQA_SS05_JUNE_2014_2

<p>2(a)</p> $H_0: \mu_{females} - \mu_{males} = 1.5cm$ $H_1: \mu_{females} - \mu_{males} > 1.5cm$ <p>(b)</p> $t.s. = \frac{(8.54 - 6.28 - 1.5)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{0.6^2}{10} + \frac{0.6^2}{8}\right)}}$ $= 2.67$ <p>$z = 2.3263$</p> <p>$2.67 > 2.3263$ - reject H_0</p> <p>Sufficient evidence at 1% level to suggest that the <u>mean length of female toads</u> is more than 1.5cm greater than the <u>mean length of male toads</u>.</p>	<p>B1 B1</p> <p>M1 M1 A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>E1dep</p>	<p>2</p> <p>6</p> <p>8</p>	<p>B1 : an inequality <u>and</u> 1.5 B1 : both correct</p> <p>numerator , allow “8.54 – 6.28” seen denominator awfw 2.67~2.675</p> <p>Ignore sign , p - value : 0.00378 ~ 0.00379 comparison of correct cv with correct t.s; both + ve or both - ve.</p> <p>Statement in context – dependent on previous A1.</p>
<p>Notes: Hypotheses: must use μ or population mean. Allow f and m as suffices but other suffices only if clearly assigned.</p>			

AQA_SS05_JUNE_2013_2

<p>2</p> $H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B + 24$ $H_1: \mu_A > \mu_B + 24$ <p>$\bar{x}_A = 473$ $\bar{x}_B = 438$</p> <p>test statistic $z = \frac{473 - 438 - 24}{\sqrt{\frac{7^2}{6} + \frac{10^2}{8}}}$</p> <p>$= 2.29$</p> <p>cv 5% level 1-tail test $z = 1.6449$</p> <p>$2.42 > 1.6449$ reject H_0</p> <p>Evidence at the 5% level to support Nasreen’s belief.</p>	<p>B1 B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1 M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>9</p>	<p>(s.c. B1 for both $H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B$ and $H_1: \mu_A > \mu_B$)</p> <p>B1 both means; awfw 472 ~ 473 and 438 ~ 439 M1 Numerator (allow (473 – 438) or (438 – 473 – 24)) M1 Denominator</p> <p>A1 awfw 2.25 ~ 2.45</p> <p>B1 awfw 1.64 ~ 1.65 (condone \pm)</p> <p>dep A1 for ts (consistent with hypotheses) and B1 for cv</p> <p>Correct comment in context dep. on previous A1 - must mention mean or average and some element of doubt.</p> <p>eg Some evidence that boxes of eggs from Alaric are more than 24gm heavier on average than those from Belinda</p>
<p>Total</p>		<p>9</p>	

<p>(ii)</p>	<p>$\bar{x}_1 = 648.6$ $\bar{x}_2 = 619.86$</p> <p>Pooled variance estimate $s_p^2 = (3742.49 \times 9 + 4716.14 \times 6) / 15$ $= 4131.95$</p> <p>$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$ $H_1: \mu_1 > \mu_2$</p> <p>$t = \frac{(648.6 - 619.86)}{\sqrt{4131.95(1/10 + 1/7)}}$ $= 0.907$</p> <p>c.v. t_{15} is 1.753</p> <p>Accept H_0 i.e. no significant evidence of a reduction in Saturday takings after October 2011</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1✓</p> <p>A1✓</p>	<p>11</p>	<p>B1 648.6 (648 ~ 649) and 619.86 (619.5 ~ 620)</p> <p>M1 method for pooled variance</p> <p>B1 one hypothesis correct B1 both hypotheses correct - don't penalise the same error twice</p> <p>M1 method for numerator M1 method for denominator -</p> <p>A1 0.907 (0.9 ~ 0.91) - ignore sign</p> <p>B1 15 df B1 1.753 - ignore sign</p> <p>A1✓ accept H_0 - must be compared with correct tail of t A1✓ conclusion in context 0 for contradiction</p> <p>(or $p = 0.189$ compared with 0.05)</p>
<p>4(b)(i)</p>	<p>$H_0: \mu_2 = \mu_1 + 50$ $H_1: \mu_2 > \mu_1 + 50$</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>B1 1 correct hypothesis B1 both correct - only penalise the same mistake once</p>
<p>(ii)</p>	<p>801,887,1013,884,964,1014,1146</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>M1 method A1 accuracy - allow one slip</p>
<p>(b)(iii)</p>	<p>critical value of t_{15} is 2.602</p> <p>reject H_0, conclude total takings will be increased by more than £50.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>B1 2.602</p> <p>B1 conclusion (M implied) B1 in context must be compared with t- values</p> <p>(or $p=0.0000936$)</p>

<p>(ii) pooled variance estimate</p> $s_p^2 = \frac{(244.125 \times 7 + 316.271 \times 6)}{13}$ <p>= 277.423</p> <p>$H_0: \mu_{ABC} = \mu_{XYZ} + 10$</p> <p>$H_1: \mu_{ABC} > \mu_{XYZ} + 10$</p> $t = \frac{(205.125 - 192.429 - 10)}{\sqrt{277.423 \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{7} \right)}}$ <p>= 0.313</p> <p>c.v. t_{13} is 1.35</p> <p>accept H_0 no significant evidence to show that XYZ couriers are more than 10 minutes faster than ABC couriers</p> <p>$P = 0.3797$ (0.379 ~ 0.4)</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1F</p> <p>A1F</p>	<p>method for pooled variance</p> <p>one hypothesis correct</p> <p>both hypotheses correct – don't penalise the same error twice</p> <p>method for numerator</p> <p>method for denominator</p> <p>allow $\frac{s_A^2}{8} + \frac{s_B^2}{7}$</p> <p>0.313 (0.31 ~ 0.32); ignore sign</p> <p>1.35; ignore sign</p> <p>accept H_0 – must be compared with correct tail of t – disallow contradiction</p> <p>conclusion in context – needs previous A mark</p>
		9

<p>2(a)</p> <p>$\bar{x} = 76.928$ $s_x = 2.588896$</p> <p>$\bar{y} = 73.0625$ $s_y = 2.243045$</p> <p>$H_0: \mu_x = \mu_y$ $H_1: \mu_x \neq \mu_y$</p> <p>pooled variance estimate</p> $s^2 = (6 \times 2.588896^2 + 7 \times 2.243045^2) / 13$ <p>= 5.80254 ($s = 2.4088$)</p> $t = \frac{76.928 - 73.0625}{\sqrt{5.80254 \left(\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8} \right)}}$ <p>= 3.8655 / 1.2467</p> <p>= 3.10</p> <p>c.v. $t_{13} = \pm 3.012$</p> <p>Reject H_0. Conclude that mean water temperature after 5 hours for flask A is different from (higher than) for flask B</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>m1</p> <p>M1m1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1B1</p> <p>A1✓</p> <p>A1✓</p>	<p>B1 76.9 (76.9~77), 73.1 (73~73.1)</p> <p>2.59 (2.58~2.6), 2.24 (2.24~2.25)</p> <p>B1 one correct hypothesis – generous</p> <p>B1 both correct – ungenerous</p> <p>M1 attempt at pooled variance</p> <p>m1 correct method for pooled variance</p> <p>M1 difference of means/their standard deviation</p> <p>m1 correct method for t</p> <p>A1 3.10 or -3.10 (3.09 ~ 3.11)</p> <p>B1 13 df</p> <p>B1 3.012 or 3.01 ignore sign</p> <p>A1✓ conclusion – must be compared with correct tail of t</p> <p>A1✓ in context – requires previous A1✓</p>
<p>(b) Conditions not controlled e.g. background temperature, amount of water in flask. Conditions may differ between first 7 days and last 8 days.</p>	<p>E1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>E1 conditions not controlled</p> <p>E1 order of experiments not randomised or balanced</p> <p>one mark for any sensible point</p>
Total		14

AQA_SS05_JUNE_2008_3b

<p>(b) $\bar{x}_B = 69.8429$ $\bar{x}_A = 55.7333$</p> <p>pooled variance estimate, s_p^2</p> $\frac{6 \times 9.1354^2 + 5 \times 11.030^2}{6 + 5} = 100.852$ <p>$s_p = 10.043$</p> <p>$H_0: \mu_B = \mu_A$ $H_1: \mu_B > \mu_A$</p> $t = \frac{69.8429 - 55.7333}{10.043 \sqrt{\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{6}}}$ <p>$= 2.53$</p> <p>c.v. t_{11} is 1.796</p> <p>reject H_0, significant evidence that mean speed has been reduced after introduction of speed cameras.</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>m1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1✓</p> <p>A1✓</p> <p>A1✓</p>	<p>method for pooled variance</p> <p>both hypotheses - needs μ or population method for t - their pooled variance</p> <p>allow if $\frac{s_x^2}{7} + \frac{s_y^2}{6}$ used for variance</p> <p>correct method for t - ignore sign</p> <p>2.53 (2.52 ~ 2.53) - ignore sign</p> <p>11df</p> <p>1.796 - ignore sign, their df</p> <p>conclusion - needs one sided t-test plus +ve ts compared with +ve cv or -ve ts compared with -ve cv</p> <p>in context - allow arithmetic errors, incorrect t-value, 2-sided test.</p>
		9

AQA_SS05_JUNE_2009_5b

<p>5(cont) $H_0: \mu_p = \mu_s + 5$</p> <p>(b) $H_1: \mu_p < \mu_s + 5$</p> $z = \frac{19.7333 - 15.2143 - 5}{\sqrt{(0.95^2/6 + 0.65^2/7)}}$ <p>$= -1.05$</p> <p>c.v. -1.2816</p> <p>Accept H_0, ie accept mean breaking strength of premium line is at least 5kg greater than that of standard line</p> <p>p-value 0.148 (0.146 ~ 0.149) compare with 0.1</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1✓</p> <p>A1✓</p>	<p>Hypotheses</p> <p>Method for variance</p> <p>Method for z - their variance</p> <p>-1.04 ~ -1.06 - ignore sign</p> <p>-1.28 ~ -1.282 - ignore sign</p> <p>Accept H_0 - must be compared with correct tail of z - needs both M marks</p> <p>Conclusion in context - needs previous A1✓</p> <p>If t used, maximum B1M0M1A0B1 (for 1.363)A0A0</p>
		7

<p>(b)</p> <p>$H_0: \mu_M - \mu_A = 1$ $H_1: \mu_M - \mu_A > 1$</p> <p>CV of $z = 1.6449$</p> <p>sample value of $z = \frac{(61.7 - 58.9) - 1}{2.1 \sqrt{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{12}}}$</p> <p>$= 1.94$ $1.94 > 1.6449$ so reject H_0. There is sufficient evidence at the 5% level to support the trainer's claim</p>	<p>B1 B1 B1 M1 m1 A1 A1✓</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>μ_M, μ_A reversed, lose first B1 and last A1 } or equivalent If $H_1 \neq$ must have 1.96 accept 1.64, 1.645 or $P(Z > 1.94) = 0.2619$</p> <p>difference of means over sd correct form of sd</p> <p>CAO; AWRT fit on sample value and CV</p>
--	--	----------	---